## EXHIBIT 21

## Deposition of Roger Blair Excerpts

239 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA CUNG LE; NATHAN QUARRY, JON FITCH, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, ) Case No. vs. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-(PAL) ZUFFA, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate Fighting Championship and UFC, Defendant. HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ROGER D. BLAIR, Ph.D., VOL. II Orlando, Florida December 9, 2017 7:59 a.m. Reported By: Dawn A. Hillier, RMR, CRR, CLR Job No. 52574

## ROGER D. BLAIR, Ph.D., VOL. II - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	300		302
1	MR. SILVERMAN: I'm sorry. Right. Right.	1	Q Okay. So, if we're trying to evaluate the
2	Let me try it again.	2	marginal revenue product of MMA fighters, if an MMA
3	BY MR. SILVERMAN:	3	promotion if the input is hiring two additional
4	Q A Strikeforce fighter who's fought for	4	fighters to fight in a single event featuring one bout
5	Strikeforce. Strikeforce was purchased by Zuffa. The	5	between the two fighters
6	fighter then left Strikeforce and then came back and	6	A Okay. Can I stop you?
7	fought for the UFC, would you include them in the 182?	7	Q Sure.
8	A So, he didn't fight for Strikeforce when	8	A I mean
9	Strikeforce was owned by UFC?	9	Q Yeah.
10	Q They did.	10	A I think you misspoke.
11	MR. WIDNELL: But not for UFC.	11	Q Okay.
12	MR. SILVERMAN: But not for UFC.	12	A Because you're talking about I think you
13	MR. WIDNELL: That's your question?	13	were talking about an input hiring something.
14	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes.	14	Q Yep.
15	THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so.	15	A Well, the inputs don't do the hiring. The
16	BY MR. SILVERMAN:	16	employee does the hiring. So
17	Q Okay. What about a fighter who fought for	17	Q Yeah. Sorry. Let me
18	Pride and had a Pride contract, then Pride was bought by	18	A I have a problem with the question.
19	Zuffa, and that fighter fought for the UFC under their	19	Q Sure. Let me try again.
20	Pride contract, left the UFC, and then returned to the	20	If a MMA promoter is hires two additional
21	UFC, would you include that fighter in the 182?	21	fighters for the purpose of fighting in a single event
22	A If he fought for UFC, and then left, and then	22	featuring one bout between those two fighters, and that
23	came back, yes.	23	event brings in a million dollars in additional revenue
24	Q It doesn't matter what contract the fighter	24	to that promoter, isn't the marginal revenue product of
25	was on, in other words?	25	those two fighters \$1 million?
	301		303
1	A Yes. That's correct.	1	MR. WIDNELL: Objection, form.
2	Q Of these 182 fighters you identify, have you	2	THE WITNESS: So, the the initial situation
3	investigated how many of them the UFC wanted to retain?	3	is that revenue's zero. And we're putting on, you
4	A You mean when they left the first time?	4	know, one event.
5	Q That's right.	_	A a d di con di cita con con to di conta con
6		5	And the the increase in the there's an
	A They obviously wanted them back or they	5 6	increase in revenue which of course is equal to
7	A They obviously wanted them back or they wouldn't have signed them; right?	1	
7 8		6	increase in revenue which of course is equal to
	wouldn't have signed them; right?	6 7	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because
8	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the	6 7 8	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.
8 9	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?	6 7 8 9	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know,
8 9 10	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.	6 7 8 9 10	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those
8 9 10 11	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write	6 7 8 9 10 11	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you
8 9 10 11 12	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No. Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the	6 7 8 9 10 11	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.
8 9 10 11 12	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No. Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking
8 9 10 11 12 13	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase of the input by one unit.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to produce the event. That requires a venue and it
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase of the input by one unit.  Is that right?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to produce the event. That requires a venue and it requires lots of other inputs. And, you know, if
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No. Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase of the input by one unit.  Is that right?  MR. WIDNELL: I'm sorry. Where in the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to produce the event. That requires a venue and it requires lots of other inputs. And, you know, if you remove the venue you remove the fighters,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase of the input by one unit.  Is that right?  MR. WIDNELL: I'm sorry. Where in the paragraph?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to produce the event. That requires a venue and it requires lots of other inputs. And, you know, if you remove the venue you remove the fighters, the revenue's zero. Remove the venue, the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase of the input by one unit.  Is that right?  MR. WIDNELL: I'm sorry. Where in the paragraph?  MR. SILVERMAN: Paragraph 40. Am I wrong?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to produce the event. That requires a venue and it requires lots of other inputs. And, you know, if you remove the venue you remove the fighters, the revenue's zero. Remove the venue, the revenue's zero.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase of the input by one unit.  Is that right?  MR. WIDNELL: I'm sorry. Where in the paragraph?  MR. SILVERMAN: Paragraph 40. Am I wrong?  MR. WIDNELL: Well, you might be in the right	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to produce the event. That requires a venue and it requires lots of other inputs. And, you know, if you remove the venue you remove the fighters, the revenue's zero. Remove the venue, the revenue's zero.  So, you have to take into account, you know,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase of the input by one unit.  Is that right?  MR. WIDNELL: I'm sorry. Where in the paragraph?  MR. SILVERMAN: Paragraph 40. Am I wrong?  MR. WIDNELL: Well, you might be in the right one. I just didn't pick up halfway through, the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to produce the event. That requires a venue and it requires lots of other inputs. And, you know, if you remove the venue you remove the fighters, the revenue's zero. Remove the venue, the revenue's zero.  So, you have to take into account, you know, all of the other inputs that go into generating
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wouldn't have signed them; right?  Q Yeah. But have you investigated how many the UFC wanted to retain when they left?  A No.  Q So, in paragraph 40 of your report, you write [as read]: The marginal revenue product is equal to the change in total revenue on sales in the output market resulting from an increase or a decrease in the purchase of the input by one unit.  Is that right?  MR. WIDNELL: I'm sorry. Where in the paragraph?  MR. SILVERMAN: Paragraph 40. Am I wrong?  MR. WIDNELL: Well, you might be in the right one. I just didn't pick up halfway through, the marginal revenue product?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	increase in revenue which of course is equal to what the total revenue is for that event because the base was zero.  And then, so the question is, you know, whether the marginal revenue product for those you know, for those two fighters combined is you know, is the is that total revenue.  You know, the the problem with thinking about it like that is that, you know, you have to produce the event. That requires a venue and it requires lots of other inputs. And, you know, if you remove the venue you remove the fighters, the revenue's zero. Remove the venue, the revenue's zero.  So, you have to take into account, you know, all of the other inputs that go into generating this fan revenue.

17 (Pages 300 to 303)

## ROGER D. BLAIR, Ph.D., VOL. II - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

304 306 1 revenue, then you could calculate a ratio of the 1 cost, their prices, you know, once I know what 2 2 marginal revenue product of the athletes to the total those quantities are, you know, the total output, 3 3 if you will, is determined by the -- the nature of revenue in that sport; right? 4 MR. WIDNELL: Objection, form. 4 the production function. And then that determines 5 THE WITNESS: That's a matter of -- I mean, 5 the quantity. And given the demand conditions, 6 you're -- you're asking me if -- if I have a -- if 6 that will then determine what the -- you know, what 7 7 I know what the numerator and the denominator are, the price is. And you can calculate the -- the 8 I can do the arithmetic, and the answer's yes. 8 total revenue from that. And what you have to 9 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 9 subtract out, then, in order to determine the 10 10 profit, is the payments to the inputs that went Q For any given sport, the revenue from an event 11 can, in theory, be broken down into the revenue product 11 into producing that output. 12 of the various input factors that went into producing 12 Now, can you then attribute -- can you say how 13 that event; right? So, in the example you just talked 13 much of the revenue was generated by each of the 14 about, the fighters in the venue, for example. 14 inputs. You know, that becomes complicated because 15 15 MR. WIDNELL: Objection, form, misstates. the marginal revenue products are -- the marginal 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. So, I'm sorry. You're 16 product -- marginal revenue product of one input is 17 asking me if -- if we have all of the -- if we have 17 influenced by the quantities of the other inputs 18 all of the inputs and we -- you know, we list all 18 that are employed. So, you know -- and, you know, 19 of those, can we attribute shares of the total 19 you -- it's relatively easy to see that, you know, 20 20 revenue that are accounted for by each of those in, you know, you know, specific production 21 inputs? 21 examples. 22 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 22 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 23 23 Q Right. Q If we look at Major League Baseball, for 24 24 A Is that your question? example, do you think that the players are responsible 25 25 Q In theory. or that the -- strike that. 305 307 1 A Well, you know, I mean, again, not 1 If we look at Major League Baseball, for 2 2 example, do you think that the marginal revenue product necessarily. And, you know -- and, you know, in the 3 same sense that, you know, if you were to say, you know, 3 of the players accounts for the vast majority of the 4 we have the athletes that are providing the sports 4 revenue generated? 5 5 MR. WIDNELL: Objection, form. entertainment and we have the venue, so we have -- let's 6 just suppose there's only those two inputs. We have the 6 THE WITNESS: Well, certainly if you're a 7 athletic input, and the venue. You know, revenue's zero 7 baseball fan, you're not going to want to go to the 8 if either one of those things is zero. So, having put 8 stadium and just sort of sit around and look at the 9 9 on the event, you know, allocating the -- the revenue -green grass. You know, you're going to want --10 10 a portion of the revenue to each of them would be, you you're going to go there because the players are 11 11 going to play a game. And you want to watch the know, pretty difficult. 12 Q But in theory, isn't the revenue -- by 12 athletic competition on the field. You know, so, 13 13 you know, the -- you know, but that attribution, definition, doesn't it -- isn't it attributable to all 14 of the factors that go into production, even if it's 14 you know, again, you know, is complicated by the 15 15 fact that, you know, if you didn't have the difficult to apportion it properly? 16 MR. WIDNELL: Objection, form. 16 stadium, you know, you wouldn't generate the same, 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. So -- okay. So, you 17 you know, fan appeal. 18 18 There wouldn't be any place for them to watch know, in theory, you know, what you're looking at 19 is, you know, the -- you know, the profit, let's 19 the game. So, you know, the size of the stadium 20 20 say, is a function of the revenue which is driven can come into play and, you know, because that's 21 21 by the -- you know, the demand on the part of another input in the production of revenues through 22 22 consumers, you know, the fans, and the -- and the providing sports entertainment. 23 23 BY MR. SILVERMAN: output, which is driven by the inputs; okay? 24 So, if I know what all of the inputs are, you 24 Q What are the other major factors of production 25 25 besides the stadium, I think you mentioned, that play an know, the quantities of all the inputs, and their

18 (Pages 304 to 307)